

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 ISLAMA 03806 100423 Z

12

ACTION NEA-12

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ADP-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09 H-02

INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15

USIA-12 AID-20 IO-12 SR-02 ORM-03 RSR-01 /142 W

----- 021150

P 100210 Z MAY 73

FM AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 9156

INFO AMEMBASSY KABUL PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN PRIORITY

AMCONSUL KARACHI

AMCONSUL LAHORE

C O N F I D E N T I A L ISLAMABAD 3806

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, PINT, AF, IR, UR, PK

SUBJECT: GOP STATEMENT ON RELATIONS WITH IRAN, AFGHANISTAN
AND OTHER NEIGHBORS- COMMENT

REF : ISLAMABAD 3776 (NOTAL)

SUMMARY: GOP MAY 8 STATEMENT LAUDING RELATIONS WITH IRAN,
DENOUNCING DOMESTIC OPPOSITION AND SHARPLY CRITICIZING
AFGHANISTAN ISSUED IN CONTEXT BHUTTO' S FORTHCOMING VISIT
TO TEHRAN. GOP MOTIVES PROBABLY INCLUDED REASSURING SHAH
THAT GOP REJECTED PAK OPPOSITION CRITICISM OF HIM OVER
SULZBERGER INTERVIEW; POLITE REMINDER OF GOP UNHAPPINESS
WITH COMMENTS ABOUT IMMINENT DISSOLUTION OF PAKISTAN; AND
SCORING OF POINTS AGAINST DOMESTIC OPPOSITION. LESS CLEAR
WHY GOP TOOK SUCH HARD LINE AGAINST AFGHANISTAN AFTER LONG
PERIOD OF RELATIVE RESTRAINT. COULD BE THAT GOP WISHED
SURFACE ITS ANNOYANCE WITH RGA IN CONJUNCTION WITH
ATTACK ON PATHAN REGIONALISTS IN PAKISTAN. AFGHAN
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 ISLAMA 03806 100423 Z

AMBASSADOR QTE APPALLED UNQTE BY STATEMENT AND FEARS
SERIOUS REPERCUSSIONS IN PAK- AFGHAN RELATIONS. END SUMMARY.

1. REFTEL SUMMARIZES LONG STATEMENT ON RELATIONS WITH
IRAN AND OTHER NEIGHBORS, ESPECIALLY AFGHANISTAN, ISSUED
BY GOP MAY 8. STATEMENT LAUDS PAK- IRANIAN RELATIONS AND
DESCRIBES IRANIAN AID TO PAKISTAN DURING 1971 WAR; HARSHLY
CRITICIZES AFGHANISTAN FOR QTE BLATANT INTERFERENCE UNQTE
IN PAK AFFAIRS; AND DENOUNCES DOMESTIC POLITICIANS (READ
NATIONAL AWAMI PARTY) FOR ATTEMPTING DISRUPT PAK- IRANIAN
RELATIONS BY THEIR RECENT CRITICISM OF SHAH FOR HIS REMARKS
RE BALUCHISTAN IN APRIL INTERVIEW WITH SULZBERGER. (ISLA-
MABAD 3701). ALTHOUGH IT APPEARS AIMED MAINLY AT NAP
OPPOSITION, STATEMENT CONSTITUTES IN EFFECT MAJOR PRONOUNCE-
MENT ON GOP POLICY TOWARD IRAN AND AFGHANISTAN. (COMMENTS
RE OTHER NEIGHBORS, I. E., SOVIETS AND INDIA, WERE BY CON-
TRAST RATHER PERFUNCTORY.) STATEMENT OBVIOUSLY ISSUED IN
CONTEXT BHUTTO'S SCHEDULED MAY 10 DEPARTURE FOR TEHRAN, BUT
NOT ENTIRELY CLEAR TO US WHY GOP FELT CONSTRAINED TO ISSUE
SUCH LENGTHY AND CONTENTIOUS STATEMENT AT THIS TIME, ESPECIALLY
ONE SO SHARPLY CRITICAL OF AFGHANISTAN.

2. RESOUNDING DEFENSE OF SHAH AGAINST DOMESTIC CRITICS
IN PAKISTAN PROBABLY HAD SEVERAL OBJECTIVES. ONE PRIMARY
MOTIVE UNDOUBTEDLY WAS TO MOLLIFY SHAH (ANTICIPATING HIS
SENSITIVITY) AND REASSURE HIM ON EVE OF BHUTTO VISIT THAT
GOP CATEGORICALLY REJECTED NAP CRITICISM OF HIM. AT
SAME TIME, ASSERTION IN GOP STATEMENT THAT IT WAS SULZBERGER
RATHER THAN SHAH WHO HAD MADE QTE DEPLORABLE UNQTE REMARKS
RE IMMINENT BREAKUP OF PAKISTAN COULD BE INTERPRETED AS
VEILED REMINDER TO SHAH OF GOP UNHAPPINESS WITH SUCH RE-
MARKS. DOMESTIC POLITICS ALSO FIGURED IN STATEMENT, IN
THAT IT PROVIDED BHUTTO ADMINISTRATION FURTHER OPPORTUNITY
TO SCORE POINTS AGAINST NAP OPPOSITION BY PORTRAYING THEM
AS DISLOYAL CRITICS OF PAKISTAN'S CLOSE AND VALUABLE ALLY.
DETAILS OF IRANIAN AID TO PAKISTAN DURING 1971 WAR LIKELY
TO RAISE EYEBROWS IN NEW DELHI AND ELSEWHERE, BUT SHOULD
HELP GOP AT HOME AND DEMONSTRATING TO PAK POPULACE THAT COUNTRY
HAS STRONG AND FORTHCOMING FRIENDS. (IN BRIEF MAY 9 CON-
VERSATION WITH CHARGE, IRANIAN CHARGE TAVALLALI EXPRESSED
FAVORABLE VIEW OF GOP STATEMENT; HE FELT IT WOULD
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 ISLAMA 03806 100423 Z

IMPROVE CLIMATE FOR BHUTTO VISIT AND INDICATED NO DISCOMFIT
OVER RELEASE OF SPECIFICS ON IRANIAN WARTIME AID TO PAKISTAN.)

3. GOP MOTIVE IN LAMBASTING AFGHANISTAN AT THIS TIME IS
HARDER TO FATHOM. IN GOP EYES, RGA'S CONTINUED HARPING
OF PUSHTUNISTAN ISSUE MAY HAVE JUSTIFIED HARSH COMMENTS.

ON OTHER HAND, BHUTTO GOVT HAS HITHERTO TAKEN FAIRLY RESTRAINED ATTITUDE TOWARD AFGHAN ACTIVITIES RE PUSHTUNISTAN AND WE KNOW OF NO EXCEPTIONAL RECENT RGA MOVES IN THIS FIELD WHICH MIGHT HAVE MAGNIFIED GOP CONCERN. (WOULD APPRECIATE ANY COMMENTS AMEMBASSY KABUL MIGHT HAVE IN THIS REGARD.) GOP MIGHT BE MIFFED AT CONTINUED USE OF AFGHANISTAN AS SANCTUARY FOR BENGALLEES ESCAPING FROM PAKISTAN (ISLAMA-BAD 3712), YET THIS HARDLY SEEMS SUFFICIENT TO HAVE PROVOKED SUCH STRONG REACTION. WE WOULD BE ALMOST TEMPTED TO CREDIT THIS PART OF STATEMENT TO SIMPLE GOP EXASPERATION WHICH FINALLY REACHED FLASH POINT, EXCEPT FOR FACT THAT BHUTTO NORMALLY DOES NOT LET EMOTIONS DICTATE POLICY. ANOTHER MORE LIKELY EXPLANATION IS THAT GOP WISHED SURFACE ITS ANNOYANCE WITH RGA IN CONJUNCTION WITH ATTACK ON PAK PATHAN REGIONALISTS IN NAP WHO MAINTAIN FRIENDLY TIES WITH RGA.

4. WHATEVER GOP MOTIVE FOR ATTACK ON AFGHANISTAN, IT HAS SEVERELY JOLTED LOCAL AFGHAN MISSION. IN MAY 9 CONVERSATION WITH CHARGE, AFGAN AMBASSADOR POPAL SAID HE WAS QTE APPALLED UNQUOTE BY STATEMENT. HE TERMED QTE ABSOLUTELY FALSE UNQTE CHARGE THAT AFGHAN TROOPS HAD BEEN CONCENTRATED ON PAK BORDER IN 1971. OBSERVING THAT NAP- UDF OPPOSITION WAS VIRTUALLY POWERLESS AT THIS STAGE, AMBASSADOR EXPRESSED PUZZLEMENT AS TO WHY GOP HAD DECIDED TO ATTACK THEM, AND AFGHANISTAN AS WELL, IN THIS MANNER.

5. POPAL EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT STATEMENT WOULD HAVE SERIOUS REPERCUSSION ON PAK- AFGHAN RELATIONS, ESPECIALLY WHEN COMBINED WITH WHAT HE CONSIDERS UNFORTUNATE GOP CHOICE OF NEW AMBASSADOR M. A. H. ISPAHANI TO KABUL. POPAL NOTED THAT AFGHANS WERE BY NO MEANS PLEASED WITH ISPAHANI APPOINTMENT; NOT ONLY WAS HE ELDERLY AND OUT OF TOUCH WITH RECENT DEVELOPMENTS BUT HE HAD PERSIAN NAME, WAS OF PERSIAN DESCENT AND SPOKE PERSIAN. CONCLUDING, POPAL SAID HE FEARED THAT

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 ISLAMA 03806 100423 Z

ISPAHANI WOULD BE OFF TO BAD START WITH GOP STATEMENT OF MAY 8. WITH PRESENT DELICATE BALANCE IN KABUL, EVEN SUCH MATTER AS PARLIAMENTARY RATIFICATION HELMAND WATERS TREATY WITH IRAN COULD BE JEOPARDIZED.

SOBER

CONFIDENTIAL
NMAFVVZCZ

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 MAY 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973ISLAMA03806
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: ISLAMABAD
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730540/aaaaioprtel
Line Count: 163
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION NEA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 ISLAMABAD 3776
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 26 DEC 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <26-Dec-2001 by martinml>; APPROVED <18-Jan-2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> mcm 980116; mcm 980116
Subject: GOP STATEMENT ON RELATIONS WITH IRAN
TAGS: PFOR, AF, IR, PK, UR, PFOR, PINT, PINT
To: NEA
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005